

### Introduction

- Goal: Defend opinion distribution against external influe
- $\blacktriangleright$  Large directed strongly connected social network of n ag
- ▶ Row-stochastic interpersonal influence matrix  $W \in [0, 1]$
- ▶  $x(t) \in [0,1]^n$  agents' opinions at time t.
- ▶  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  network nodes' eigenvector centralities.
- ▶ DeGroot model (≈ Markov chain): x(t+1) = Wx(t) Wx(t)
- ▶ In what follows, we use notation x = x(0).
- $\langle \pi, x \rangle$  asymptotic consensus value; "opinion attr

## **Problem Statement by Example**



### **Problem and Its Hardness**

- **Adversary's Goal:** Maximize  $\langle \pi, \widetilde{x} \rangle$  via altering  $x \rightarrow x$
- **Our Goal:** Return  $\langle \widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{x} \rangle$  back to  $\langle \pi, x \rangle$  via altering  $\pi$ means of recommending new links to users. For a singleperturbation,  $W = W - \theta_{rc} \operatorname{diag}(e_r)W + \theta_{rc} e_r e_c^{\mathsf{T}}$ .



**Problem:** DIVER $(W, k, x, \widetilde{x}) = \arg \min_{\widetilde{W}} |\langle \widetilde{\pi}(W), \widetilde{x} \rangle$ 

where the perturbed W differs from W by k new edges,  $\theta_{ij}$  of an added edge (i, j) being predefined (by user i). NP-hard.

# Disabling External Influence in Social Networks via Edge Recommendation

Victor Amelkin, Ambuj K. Singh University of California, Santa Barbara

	<b>General Solution for</b> DIVER
ence. gents. $[]^{n \times n}$ .	$\mathrm{DIVER}(W,k,x,\widetilde{x}) = \arg\min_{\widetilde{W}} $
$\rightarrow \langle \pi, x(0) \rangle \mathbb{1}.$	• Method: reduce $\langle \widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{x} \rangle$ through iterative close enough to $\langle \pi, x \rangle$ $\langle \pi, \widetilde{x} \rangle - \langle \widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{x} $
ractor".	• <b>Central Question:</b> How does $\langle \tilde{\pi}, \tilde{x} \rangle$ ch $(r, c)$ with weight $\theta_{rc}$ is added to network
	<b>Network Perturbation Analysis</b>
ges to network $W$ $\mathcal{E}(0)\rangle) \rightarrow \langle \pi, x(0)\rangle)$	Single-edge perturbation: $\widetilde{W} = W - \theta_{rc}$
$\widetilde{x}$	<b>Theorem 1.</b> Under single-edge perturbation having weight $\theta_{re}$ , the eigenvector centrality $\widetilde{\pi}_j = \pi_j \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta_{rc}(m_{cj} \cdot (1 - \delta\{j, c))}{m_{rr} + \theta_{rc}(m_{cr} - c)} \right]$ where $m_{ij}$ is the mean first passage time ( $M_i$ $j$ of Markov chain $W$ , and $\delta\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is Kroneck <b>Theorem 2.</b> Under single-edge perturbation having weight $\theta_{re}$ , the asymptotic consensus $f_{\pi}(r, c) = \langle \pi, \widetilde{x} \rangle - \langle \widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{x} \rangle = \theta_{rc} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_j (m_{cj} \cdot r)}{m_{rr} + c}$
	How to efficiently solve DIVER
$\rangle - \langle \pi, x \rangle  ,$ 5, with weight DIVER is	<ul> <li>Approach to DIVER: iteratively adding f<sub>π</sub>(r, c) until satisfied with the value of ζ</li> <li>Issue 1: There are O(n<sup>2</sup>) candidate edg</li> <li>Issue 2: How to efficiently compute f<sub>π</sub>(</li> <li>Evaluation of a single f<sub>π</sub>(r, c) involves summa</li> <li>Direct computation of MFPTs m<sub>ij</sub> would cost</li> </ul>

This material is based upon work supported by, or in part by, the U.S. Army Research Laboratory and the U.S. Army Research Office under grant number W911NF-15-1-0577.

	Efficient candidate e
$\langle \widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{x} \rangle - \langle \pi, x \rangle  $	<ul> <li>▶ Focus on O(n) candidate</li> <li>▲ (Most good candidate edg</li> <li>▶ In hierarchical networks, t</li> </ul>
e edge addition until it gets	Efficient computatio
> max hange when a single edge k W?	In hierarchical networks, f number of top-centrality r 0.1 Fraction of nodes used by f (r. 6)
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.05 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$
$\operatorname{diag}(e_r)W + \theta_{rc}e_re_c^{T}$	-0.1
n of W with edge $(r, c)$ changes as follows:	<ul> <li>We can estimate MFPTs to and from n<sub>src</sub> top-cent</li> </ul>
$\left[\frac{2}{m_{rr}+1}-m_{rj}+1\right],$	
<i>IFPT) from state i to state ker's delta.</i>	6.0 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
n of $W$ with edge $(r, c)$ value changes as follows:	0.02
$(1 - \delta\{j, c\}) - m_{rj} + 1)\widetilde{x}_j$	• Outcome: $\mathcal{O}(n)$ -time he
$- \theta_{rc}(m_{rc} - m_{rr} + 1)$ .	Result
	Solving DIVER via iterati
in large networks?	
edges $(r, c)$ with top values $\langle \widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{x} \rangle$ .	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12\\ \hline \\ \chi, \\ \psi\\ -\\ \psi\\ \psi\\ \psi\\ \end{array} 0.08 \end{array}$
ges in a sparse network. $(r, c)$ ?	0.06
tion over $\mathcal{O}(n)$ terms. t at least $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ .	[1] Amelkin V., Singh A.K networks via edge recomm



### edge selection

edges, outgoing from  $n_{src} \ll n$  nodes. ges emanate from a small number of nodes.) these edge sources are top-centrality nodes.

## **n of** $f_{\pi}(r,c)$

 $f_{\pi}$  is largely determined by a small  $(n_{src})$ nodes.



via finite-time random walks; all the MFPTs trality nodes will converge in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time.



euristic for DIVER for hierarchical networks.

tive edge addition using  $n_{src} = 0.2n$  of



K., "Disabling external influence in social mendation", in submission (2018).

https://muriteams.cs.ucsb.edu